Case	2:16-cv-00940-TJH-JC Document 57 Filed	08/01/16 Page 1 of 9 Page ID #:295	
	LT Foy Fog CDN 105062		
1	J.T. Fox, Esq., SBN 195063 LAW OFFICES OF JT FOX, APC 556 S. Fair Oaks Ave., No. 444 Pasadena, CA 91105 (888) 750-5530 Work (888) 750-5530 Fax E. Mail: it@iffoxlaw.com		
2			
3			
4	E-Mail: jt@jtfoxlaw.com		
5	Attorney for Defendant, TIFFANY ALANA WOLFF d/b/a MISS V LANE		
6 7			
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	2	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	SWEET PEOPLE APPAREL, INC. d/b/a MISS ME, a California corporation, and RCRV, Inc.	Case No.: 2:16-CV-00940 (TJH)	
12	d/b/a ROCK REVIVAL, a California corporation,) DEFENDANT TIFFANY ALANA WOLFF'S) COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES BASED	
13	Plaintiffs,) ON EQUITABLE INDEMNITY AND CONTRIBUTION	
14	V.	}	
15	PHOENIX FIBERS, INC., an Arizona corporation, U.S. GENERAL EXPORT, INC., a) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
16	California corporation, SAC INTERNATIONAL TRADERS, INC., a California corporation,	Assigned to the Honorable:	
17	SHAUKAT ALI CHOHAN, an individual, COMAK TRADING, INC., a California	District Judge: Terry J. Hatter, Jr.Magistrate Judge: Jacqueline Chooljian	
18	corporation, LYDIA EVILSA TERRAZAS CHO, an individual, MYUNG KWON CHO, an individual, TERRANY ALANA WOLFF)	
19	individual, TIFFANY ALANA WOLFF, an individual d/b/a MISS V LANE, XYZ	Answer filed concurrently herein]	
20	COMPANIES 1-10, and JOHN AND JANE DOES1-10,	{	
21		{	
22		{	
23		{	
24		}	
25		}	
26		}	
27		}	
28			
		-1- NA WOLFF'S COUNTERCLAIM	
d	I		

6

TIFFANY ALANA WOLFF, an individual d/b/a

Cross-Complainant,

VS.

MISS V LANE,

PHOENIX FIBERS, INC., an Arizona corporation, U.S. General Export, Inc., a California corporation, SAC INTERNATIONAL TRADERS, INC., a California corporation, SHAUKAT ALI CHOHAN, an individual. COMAK TRADING, INC., a California corporation, LYDIA EVILSA TERRAZAS CHO, an individual, and MYUNG KWON CHO, an individual.

Cross-Defendants.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9

Counterclaimant TIFFANY ALANA WOLFF, an individual d/b/a MISS V LANE ("Counterclaimant") alleges:

THE PARTIES

- At all times mentioned herein, Counterclaimant TIFFANY ALANA WOLFF, an 1. individual d/b/a MISS V LANE ("Defendant" or "Counterclaimant"), was and now is an individual doing business in Los Angeles County, California.
- Counterclaimant denies that she has any liability to Plaintiff, but to the extent of Plaintiffs' asserted claims herein, Counterclaimant alleges that Cross-Defendants should be held responsible for defending and indemnifying Counterclaimant.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has federal question jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338 as to copyright matters (17 U.S.C. § 101), and supplemental jurisdiction over the claims arising under state law pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant does business 4. in this district and a substantial portion of the events or omissions giving rise to Counterclaimant's claims occurred in this district.

25

26

27

28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Equitable Indemnity Against all Cross-Defendants)

- Counterclaimant has been doing business in Los Angeles County during the operative period under Plaintiffs' complaint as MISS V LANE.
- 6. On or about February 10, 2016, Plaintiffs filed the Complaint herein against Counterclaimant and the other named defendants, among other things, for compensatory damages that Plaintiffs allegedly sustained as a result of: (1) Breach of Contract, (2) Trademark Infringement in Violation of 15 U.S.C. Section 1114, (3) False Designation of Origin and Unfair Competition in Violation of 15 U.S.C. Section 1125(a), (4) Unfair Competition in Violation of California Bus. & Prof. Code Sections 17200 et seq., (5) Dilution in Violation of California Bus. & Prof. Code Section 14247 and (6) Common Law Trademark Infringement and Unfair Competition.
- 7. If Plaintiffs sustained damages as alleged in their Complaint, these damages were caused, entirely or in part, by Cross-Defendants as set forth herein.
- 8. Counterclaimant is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Cross-Defendants through commission or omission, acted in a negligent manner by, among other things: (1) advising improperly or failing to advise Counterclaimant of matters concerning the proprietary rights which are now being claimed about by Plaintiffs.
- 9. Counterclaimant is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that as a result, and due to the actions or omissions of Cross-Defendants, Plaintiffs have allegedly suffered damages as claimed in the Complaint which Plaintiffs blame wrongly on or attribute mistakenly in some way to Counterclaimant.
- 10. Counterclaimant is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Cross-Defendants, through commission or omission, acted in a negligent manner as to have caused the damage alleged in the Complaint of Plaintiff in this action.
- 11. Counterclaimant is informed and believes that the damages alleged by Plaintiffs in their Complaint in this action, if (arguendo) in fact they occurred were, in whole or in part, the direct and proximate result of the negligence of Cross-Defendants, and each of them.
 - 12. By service of this Counterclaim, Counterclaimant demands that Cross-Defendants who

have already been named as a Defendant in this action by Plaintiffs, indemnify and defend Counterclaimant for any and all expenses incurred by them in investigating the circumstances surrounding the events alleged in the Complaint and for defense costs and any other losses sustained by Counterclaimant as a result of the negligence of Cross-Defendants as alleged above. Unless Cross-Defendants immediately agree to such demand, Counterclaimant will deem such demand to have been denied.

- 13. As a proximate and direct result of the negligence of Cross-Defendants, and each of them, Counterclaimant has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial, including consequential damages.
- 14. Cross-Defendants are responsible in whole or in part for the injuries and damage, if any, allegedly suffered by Plaintiffs as set forth more particularly in the Complaint. In the event Counterclaimant is adjudged liable for damages, injuries or losses of any nature whatsoever pursuant to the Complaint, she is entitled to receive equitable indemnity and comparative contribution from Cross-Defendants for any sums which Counterclaimant may be compelled to pay as a result of such judgment, and in addition thereto, she is entitled to be indemnified for all costs, expenses and attorney's fees which have been or may be incurred in either defending the lawsuit or settling with the Plaintiffs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against All Cross-Defendants for Contribution)

- 15. Counterclaimant realleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 14 as though set forth in full.
- 16. In the event that any part of this action should establish any liability on the part of Counterclaimant, Counterclaimant could then be obliged to pay sums representing the proportion or percentage of fault, not Counterclaimant's own but that of Cross-Defendants, other parties in this action, and/or of persons not a part of this action.
- 17. Counterclaimant desires a judicial determination of the respective rights and duties of Counterclaimant and Cross-Defendants with respect to the damages alleged in the Complaint. Such a determination is necessary and appropriate at this time in order that Counterclaimant may ascertain

1	their rights and duties with respect to the claims for damages asserted.		
2	WHEREFORE, Defendant and Counterclaimant prays for judgment against Cross-Defendant		
3	as follows:		
4	A.	For damages in an amount according to proof at trial, but not less than any amount	
5	which Count	nterclaimant would be required to pay in this action, plus the expenses incurred by	
6	Counterclaim	nterclaimant in her investigation and defense of the Complaint, together with interest on said sun	
7	from and after the date on which said sum first became due and owing, according to proof at trial.		
8	В.	For a judicial determination of the respective rights and duties of Counterclaimant and	
9	Cross-Defend	oss-Defendants with respect to the damages alleged in this action by Plaintiff.	
10	C.	For a declaration that Counterclaimant is entitled to comparative, partial, or full	
11	indemnity from Cross-Defendants, and each of them.		
12	D.	For costs of suit incurred herein as allowed by statute and law.	
13	Е.	For attorneys' fees incurred herein as allowed by statute and law.	
14	F.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.	
15	DATED: At	agust 1, 2016 LAW OFFICES OF JT FOX, APC	
16		ETW OFFICES OF STOOK, AFC	
17			
18		By:	
19		Attorneys for Defendant, TIFFANY ALANA WOLFF d/b/a MISS	
20		V LANE	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

Case 2:16-cv-00940-TJH-JC Document 57 Filed 08/01/16 Page 5 of 9 Page ID #:299

28

JURY DEMAND Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant ("Defendant") hereby demands trial by jury on all issues so triable that are raised by Defendant's Counterclaim. DATED: August 1, 2016 LAW OFFICES OF JT FOX, APC By: Attorneys for Defendant TIFFANY ALANA WOLFF, an individual d/b/a MISS V LANE

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 2 I, the undersigned, declare: I am a citizen of the United States of America, am over the age of eighteen 3 (18) years, and not a party to the within action. I am an employee of Law Offices of JT Fox, APC, and my business address is 556 S. Fair Oaks Ave., Suite 4 444, Pasadena, CA 91105. 5 On August 1, 2016, I served COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES BASED ON EQUITABLE INDEMNITY AND 6 CONTRIBUTIONDEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL on the parties involved by mailing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows: 8 SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST 9 BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I caused each envelope, with delivery 10 fees provided for, to be deposited in a box regularly maintained by an overnight delivery courier. I am familiar with Law Offices of JT Fox, APC's practice for 11 collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery and know that in the ordinary course of Law Offices of JT Fox, APC's business practice the 12 document described above will be deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by an overnite courier on the same date that it is placed at Law 13 Offices of JT Fox, APC for collection. 14 X BY MAIL: I caused each envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States mail at Pasadena, California. I am readily 15 familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of mail in this office; that in the ordinary course of business said document would be deposited with the US Postal Service in Pasadena on that same day. I 16 understand that service shall be presumed invalid upon motion of a party 17 served if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing contained on this 18 declaration. 19 (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the 20 bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. 21 Executed on August 1, 2016, at Pasadena, California. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

ATTCHEMENT TO PROOF OF SERICE USDC Case No. 2:16-cv-00940

SERVICE LIST

Eugene S Alkana

Eugene S Alkana Law Office 131 North El Molino Avenue Suite

310

Pasadena, CA 91101

626-796-8170

626-795-6138 (fax)

Rep:

U.S. General Export, Inc.

(Defendant)

Louis S Ederer

Arnold and Porter LLP

399 Park Avenue

New York, NY 90022

212-715-1102

212-715-1399 (fax)

Rep:

Sweet People Apparel,

Inc. & RCRV, Inc.

(Plaintiffs)

Michael James Luther

Schwartzberg Luther APC

8291 Utica Avenue Suite 201

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

909-457-4270

909-457-4296 (fax)

Rep:

Comak Trading, Inc.

(Defendants)

Eric D Mason

Arnold and Porter LLP

777 South Figueroa Street 44th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90017-5844

213-243-4000

213-243-4199 (fax)

Rep.

Sweet People Apparel,

Inc. & RCRV, Inc.

(Plaintiffs)

Rep:

Rep:

William C O'Neill

Ross Wersching and Wolcott LLP 3151 Airway Avenue Building S Costa Mesa, CA 92626 714-444-3900 714-444-3901 (fax)

Phoenix Fibers, Inc.

(Defendant)

Sagi Schwartzberg

Schwartzberg & Associates, APC 8291 Utica Avenue, Suite 201 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 909-457-4270 909-457-4296 (fax)

Comak Trading, Inc.

(Defendant) & Lydia Elvilsa Terrazas Cho (Defendant) and Myung Kwon Cho (Defendant)